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Abstract

The overall objective of the study was to develop a fortified complementary porridge formulation based on maize and mushroom flours that would enhance IYCN in Siaya County, Kenya. The study assumed a single factor completely randomized experimental research design. Standard and validated procedures were used for nutrient analysis of samples; determinations were done in triplicates and means computed. Data was analyzed using SPSS Version 17. Independent t-test was used to compare mean values of samples at p value <0.05 significance level. The results show significant mean compositional differences between fortified porridge and control porridge. Fortified porridge had significantly higher content of proteins, Iron, Zinc, Thiamine, Riboflavin, Niacin and folate compare to control porridge (p<0.05). Control porridge had higher energy value than fortified porridge but the difference was not significant. The fortified porridge formulation had the capacity to enhance nutritional adequacy of infants and young children diet. The study recommends that mushroom be considered as a component in formulation of complimentary porridge flours.

Keywords: Nutritional value; Mushroom; Fortification; Maize porridge; Complementary feeding

Introduction

Malnutrition in childhood is an issue of public health concern globally and particularly in developing world [1]. Early nutrition is critical as it provides a strong foundation for a child’s future health [2]. The contribution of malnutrition in the etiology of many infant and young child morbidities is widely acknowledged [3-5]. Malnutrition is implicated in an estimated 45% of mortalities in children under the age of five globally [6]. Research has established that the complementary feeding period is a most critical stage of development because of the continued rapid growth and many changes that occur which have serious implications on the child’s health and development throughout the lifecycle [3]. Deficiencies of energy and essential nutrients during this period, if not corrected could result in irreversible lifetime consequences on a child’s health and capabilities [7].

One of the major contributing factors of the wide-spread problems of malnutrition is the use of the starchy staple foods including cereal-based foods or starchy roots and tubers, commonly characterized by low protein and micronutrients content, as the basis for complementary foods. Furthermore, they are often prepared as thin gruels, which further lower their nutrient and energy density [8]. Children in the complementary feeding period (6 to 23 months age) have high nutritional needs to support rapid growth and development, which compounded with the challenge of limited gastric capacities, underscores the need for complementary foods to be high in both nutrient density and energy density. Unfortunately, the opposite is often the case for many children across the world and particularly many developing countries [9].

In developing countries, iron, zinc and vitamin A are generally the most problematic nutrients during the period of complementary feeding, largely because their low concentrations in human milk relative to the infant's needs [7,10]. Furthermore, other nutrients including Vitamin B complex may also be low depending on the types of foods consumed [11]. Diets that are predominantly based on grains and legumes are of particular concern with regard to the amount of bioavailable iron and zinc provided. This is because these foods are usually high in phytate, which binds these minerals and limits their absorption in the GIT [12,7].

The need to explore underutilized foods in a bid to overcome nutritional problems in various populations has been underscored [13]. Currently, the use of local food resources especially non-conventional foods with high nutritional value for infant and young children formulations is being strongly advocated [4]. Mushrooms represent a typical example of such crops with high nutritional and...
economic potential, but which largely remains underutilized and/or neglected [14]. Mushroom production and utilization in Kenya remain low due to lack of adequate information on their nutritional value as well as lack of appropriate post-harvest technologies for overcoming shelf life constraints as well as for value addition to enhance markets [15,16]. This study sought to determine the nutritional value of a mushroom-fortified maize porridge intended for complementary feeding as a means to enhance IYCN among the vulnerable populations in Siaya County, Kenya.

Materials and Methods

One batch of fresh oyster mushrooms (30Kgs) was sourced from the Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology Enterprises Section (JKUATES), quality sorted according to East African Standards Specification for Fresh mushrooms EAS6:2010 [17] and cleaned to remove any soil particles, then blanched by placing mushroom samples in a square piece of cotton cloth and tying well before suspending them in hot steam at 88°C for 1 min. This was to inactivate the enzymes which have been found to influence content and native profile of some vitamins [18]. The blanched mushrooms were then solar-dried for two days before milling into flour.

One batch of dry shelled maize (30Kgs) was purchased from the local markets in Siaya and ferried to Nairobi. The maize was quality sorted according to East African Standards Specification for maize grains EAS 2:2013 [19], then washed and soaked for two days before sun-drying to a stable moisture content of 10.74% as determined by oven drying followed by whole milling into flour. Portions of flour samples (500g each) were weighed and packed in airtight polyethylene bags, using a plastic sealing machine, and stored away from light at -20°C for use as required. Nutrient analysis was conducted on raw flour samples and on two porridge samples i.e. plain maize four porridge (control) and composite (80:20-maize to mushroom flour) porridge. The 80:20 porridge formulation was selected after a sensory procedure described in a related publication.

A standard recipe was followed during the preparation of all the porridge samples: 100g of flour was weighed into a sufuria (sauce pan) followed by addition of 1000ml of clean water and stirring to form a homogenous slurry. The slurry was cooked in medium heat with continuous stirring for 10 minutes into a thick gruel and allowed to cool to room temperature and then stored under deep freezing at -20°C for laboratory analysis. Chemical analysis of the porridge samples was conducted in a span of three weeks from the date of preparation.

Proximate (total carbohydrates, crude protein, crude fat and crude ash) and mineral composition of samples were determined according to official methods: Moisture and ash were determined by the hot-air circulating oven and through incineration in a muffle furnace, respectively. Crude protein was determined by the micro-Kjeldahl method and its content was obtained by multiplying the corresponding total nitrogen content by a factor of 6.25 and 4.38 for maize and mushroom proteins, respectively [20]. Total carbohydrate was determined by difference whereas energy was calculated using the Atwater’s calorie conversion factors: 4kcal/g for crude protein, 9kcal/g for crude fat and 4kcal/g for available carbohydrate [21]. Iron and zinc were determined using atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Shimadzu AA-6200Series, Japan) while the vitamins were determined according to the modified Reverse Phase-HPLC procedure with dual (UV and Fluorescence) detection as described by Ekinci R, et al. [22].

Data was analyzed using SPSS statistical computer software version 17.0 and presented in form of tables. All analytical determinations were carried out in triplicates and the mean values calculated. Descriptive statistics: means and percentages were used to describe nutrient content of samples. The independent t-test was used to compare mean nutrient values between samples differences, were tested at 95% confidence levels (p<0.05), in the dependent variable, between the control and fortified flour porridge samples.

Results

The results for Chemical analysis of raw flour samples are presented in (Tables 1,2). Results for proximate analysis showed that mushroom flour had significantly higher contents of crude ash and crude protein (p<0.001) while the maize flour had significantly higher energy value (p=0.001). The moisture contents at which of the two samples were analysed were not significantly different (p=0.772).

Micronutrient content

As shown in table 2, mushroom flour had significantly higher contents of all the micronutrients than maize flour (p<0.05).

Nutrient content of porridge samples

A fortified porridge flour was prepared by mixing maize and mushroom flour in the ratio of 80:20 maize to mushroom flour. The fortified flour porridge was similarly analysed against the control porridge (which was prepared from 100% maize flour) for proximate composition, iron, zinc, thiamine, riboflavin, niacin and folate in the second phase of nutrient analysis and the results were obtained as presented in tables 3,4. The fortified porridge had significantly higher content of proteins (p<0.001) and crude ash (p<0.001). There was no significant difference in energy value between the two porridge samples (p=0.182).

Table 1: Proximate content of raw flour samples (g/100g) fresh weight basis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrient</th>
<th>Maize flour</th>
<th>Mushroom flour</th>
<th>P value (t-test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture content</td>
<td>10.74 ± 0.4</td>
<td>10.65 ± 0.37</td>
<td>0.772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy value</td>
<td>367.56 ± 0.83</td>
<td>341.92 ± 4.89</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total carbohydrates</td>
<td>75.31 ± 0.57</td>
<td>50.13 ± 1.69</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude proteins</td>
<td>9.91 ± 0.22</td>
<td>28.69 ± 0.96</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fat</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.13</td>
<td>2.96 ± 0.90</td>
<td>0.989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude ash</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.23</td>
<td>7.58 ± 0.26</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NB:
1. Values are means ± standard deviation.
2. *Values are presented in Kcal

Table 2: Micronutrient content of raw flour samples (mg/100g) fresh weight basis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrient</th>
<th>Maize flour</th>
<th>Mushroom flour</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>2.76 ± 0.18</td>
<td>6.35 ± 0.23</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>1.55 ± 0.06</td>
<td>4.73 ± 0.40</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiamine</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.48</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riboflavin</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niacin</td>
<td>3.54 ± 0.13</td>
<td>15.80 ± 1.90</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folates</td>
<td>20.12 ± 0.52*</td>
<td>244.96 ± 10.85*</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NB:
1. Values are means ± standard deviation.
2. Values with superscript* are reported in mg/100mg.
3. Mushroom flour had significantly higher content of all the micronutrients than the maize flour.
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Table 3: Proximate composition of porridge samples (g/100g) fresh weight basis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrient</th>
<th>Control porridge</th>
<th>Fortified porridge</th>
<th>P value (t-test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture content</td>
<td>87.12 ± 0.35</td>
<td>87.17 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy value</td>
<td>51.46 ± 2.0*</td>
<td>49.18 ± 0.42*</td>
<td>0.182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Carbohydrate</td>
<td>11.99 ± 0.65</td>
<td>9.56 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.17</td>
<td>2.25 ± 0.04</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fat</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude ash</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.08</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NB: 1. Values are means ± standard deviation.
   2. Values with superscript* are presented in Kcal.

Table 4: Micronutrient content of porridge samples (mg/100g) fresh weight basis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrient</th>
<th>Control porridge</th>
<th>Fortified porridge</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thiamine</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.00</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riboflavin</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niacin</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.15 ± 0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folate</td>
<td>1.67 ± 0.40*</td>
<td>9.31 ± 1.01*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NB: 1. Values are means ± standard deviation.
   2. Values with superscript* are reported in µg/100g.
   3. Fortified porridge significantly higher in all the micronutrients than the control porridge.

Micronutrient content

As shown in table 4, differences in micronutrient contents between the two porridge samples were highly significant (P-value < 0.001) for all the micronutrients with the fortified porridge having higher values.

Fortified porridge and recommended nutrient intakes

As shown in table 5 the results show that the fortified porridge can contribute significantly to the fulfillment of the daily nutrient requirements for children within the targeted age bracket (6-23 months).

Sources


Table 5: Percentage RDA fulfillment of various nutrients per 100g of fortified porridge for children 6 months-3 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Recommended Nutrient Intakes*</th>
<th>Composition of fortified porridge/100g</th>
<th>Contribution to RNI (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-3 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kcal)</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>49.18</td>
<td>3.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>14.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiamine (mg)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riboflavin (mg)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niacin (mg NE)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>19.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folate (µg/day)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>9.31</td>
<td>6.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (mg)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>11.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc (mg)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-12 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kcal)</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>49.18</td>
<td>3.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g)</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>21.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiamine (mg)</td>
<td>0.3*</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riboflavin (mg)</td>
<td>0.4*</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niacin (mg NE)</td>
<td>4.0*</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>28.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folate (µg/day)</td>
<td>80*</td>
<td>9.31</td>
<td>11.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (mg)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>7.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc (mg)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adequate intakes

Discussion

Current results show plainly that oyster mushrooms are superior than maize in nutrient content, with regard to the key nutrients which were under investigation including proteins, iron, zinc and vitamin B complex, and thus qualify for use in nutritional enrichment of complementary preparations where maize flour is the bulk ingredient. Current values for protein content of oyster mushrooms agree with those reported by other studies; by Bhattacharya DK [23], by Ahmed M, et al. [24] and by Stamets P, et al. [25], who reported 27.30, 28.40 and 27.25mg/100g, respectively at more or less similar moisture content. Regula J, et al. [26] and Okon OG, et al., [27] however, obtained lower values for proteins in mushrooms namely 15.7 and 10.21mg/100g, respectively at a similar moisture content of 10.6%. The protein content of mushrooms is reportedly affected by factors such as mushroom variety, stage of development, the part sampled, level of nitrogen available in the substrate and geographical location, i.e., where the mushroom are obtained. This study confirms mushrooms as a rich source of proteins as previously reported by other researches [26]. Mushrooms outcompetes many of non-animal sources of protein such as grains and vegetables. The protein content of mushrooms reportedly falls between that of vegetables and animal sources [28].

Results for iron corroborates those of a study by Regula J, et al. [26] (6.86mg/100g), by Mallikarjuna SE, et al. [29] (6.27mg/100g) and by Li H, et al. [30] (7.1mg/100g). A good number of studies have also reported high contents of iron in mushroom flour in the range of 15-65mg/100g [24,31-33] while others have reported iron contents as low as lower iron content of 2.19mg/100g in mushroom flour. Current results for zinc content in mushroom flour reflect those of Li H, et al. [30] by Mallikarjuna SE, et al. [29] and by Poongkodi GK, et al. [33], who reported 4.2-5.5mg/100g, 5.06mg/100g and 4.89-6.51mg/100g, respectively. Other authors have, reported relatively higher zinc.

contents ranging between 9 and 27.6mg/100g [23,31]. The composition of mineral elements in mushrooms has been shown to be strongly affected by the chemical composition of the substrate or compost on which they are grown as well the species and size of samples [34].

The findings for both minerals in maize flour fall within the range, albeit slightly below, the values of 3.5 and 1.8mg/100g (reported in Tanzania Food Composition Tables) and 3.8 and 1.7mg/100g (reported in West African Food Composition Tables) for iron and zinc, respectively [35,36]. This study therefore, confirms the potential of mushrooms to address widespread mineral deficiencies across populations as reported in other work [37,38], particularly the essential minerals including Iron and Zinc whose deficiencies are common in developing countries. Mineral deficiencies (especially Fe, Zn) in the diet affect the health and the development of children and results in potentially life threatening complications [39].

Current results for thiamine fall within the range of 1.9 to 2.0mg/100g previously reported by [31,32,40]. However, [25] reported much lower content of thiamine in mushroom flour (0.16mg/100g).

Riboflavin content of mushroom flour obtained in the current study fall slightly below those reported by [25] and [31] whose values range between 1.9 and 2.4mg/100g. Findings for Niacin content of mushroom flour for this study fall outside the range reported by most studies i.e., 30-108mg/100g [25,31]. Only two studies i.e., Mattila P, et al. [32] and Wang H, et al. [40] were identified that adequately reported the content of folate for mushroom flour. Their figures which ranged between 300 and 700µg/100g fall above the results of the current study.

Although the fortified porridge was lower in carbohydrate and fat content, this was compensated by its higher values in protein content so that the two porridge samples were not significantly different in energy value (p=0.182). Supplementation of maize flour with mushroom flour at 20% rate therefore, did not significantly compromise the energy value of the porridge. Energy density is a key consideration in foods meant for complementary feeding since children at this stage are in their critical growth period and are most vulnerable to Protein Energy Malnutrition (PEM) which is the most prevalent form of malnutrition worldwide [41].

Current findings for protein content of the control porridge are corroborated by the values (1.2%) posted in the West African Food Composition Tables [35]. But fall above the values (0.8%) posted in the Tanzanian food composition tables [36]. Proteins constitute an important nutritional component of complementary foods supplying essential amino acids (EAAs) as well as energy during times of energy deprivation. Adequate supply of dietary proteins is vital for maintaining cellular function and integrity as well as ensuring normal health and growth. Combined protein deficiency and low energy intake leads to PEM.

The findings for iron and zinc determination in control porridge agree with those reported in the TZFCTs (0.33 and 0.2mg/100g respectively. The WAFCFTs has however, reported lower values (0.04 and 0.06mg/100g for iron and zinc, respectively).

Findings for riboflavin and niacin are within the statistical range of those reported in WAFCFTs (0.02) and TZFCTs (0.4), respectively. However, higher thiamine content was obtained for maize porridge than reported in both the TZFCTs and the WAFCFTs (0.0 and 0.01mg/100g respectively). Current values for folate content of maize porridge also fall between the values reported by the two composition tables (1.0 and 3.0µg/100g).

Conclusion

The nutritional value of oyster mushrooms particularly their potential for use in the nutritional fortification of cereal based complementary foods in populations with low dietary diversity has been demonstrated by this study. Oyster mushrooms were found to be of superior nutrient density compared to maize flour. Porridge from the fortified flour had superior nutritional value compared to the unfortified maize flour porridge. The fortified porridge formulation had the capacity to enhance nutritional adequacy of infants and young children diet. The study recommends that mushroom be considered as a component in formulation of complementary porridge flours.
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