Comparison between Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology of Thyroid Nodules and Histology after Surgical Excision
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Abstract

Background: Thyroid nodules are an extremely common disorder. Fine Needle Aspiration (FNA) is the gold standard procedure for thyroid nodules diagnosis and management; it helps clinicians to make a proper therapeutic decision and minimizes the need for unnecessary surgery.

Objective: To assess thyroid FNA sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, Positive Predictive Value (PPV), and Negative Predictive Value (NPV) in Al-Assad University Hospital using the Bethesda system for reporting thyroid cytology.

Methods: We prospectively followed thyroid FNAs, then the histological results were followed after performing surgery. FNA was performed by endocrinologists in al-Assad and al-Mouwassat university hospitals, and the cytological study for all samples was performed in pathology department at al-Assad university hospital. All available data were analyzed with SPSS version 23 statistical program.

Results: A total of 381 nodules from 324 patients, 281 women (86.7%), aged between 13-80 years (46.1 ± 13.01) had FNA. There were 26(6.8%), 216(56.7%), 52(13.6%), 44(11.5%), 30(7.9%), and 13(3.4%) nodules in categories unsatisfactory, benign, Atypia of undetermined significance/Follicular lesion of undetermined significance AUS/FLUS, Follicular neoplasm/Suspicious for Follicular Neoplasm (FN)/(SFN) Suspicious for Malignancy, and malignant, respectively. Histology was obtained for 172 nodules, sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, and accuracy were 85%, 79.35%, 47.22%, 96.05% and 80.36%, respectively. Malignancy rate in unsatisfactory, AUS/FLUS, and FN/SFN cytology was 0%, 9.1%, and 6.5%, respectively.

Conclusion: Specificity was slightly lower than anticipated based on global data and so did the PPV that may be due to the trend of overestimating cellular irregularity, however fine needle aspiration biopsy remains an accurate procedure in excluding malignancy due to its high sensitivity and NPV.
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Introduction

Thyroid nodules are extremely common; they are detected in about 4% of the population by palpation and their prevalence rises to as high as 67% when screened by ultrasonography [1,2].

Thyroid cancer is rare. While the most recent available data from cancer registry in Syria have estimated thyroid cancer prevalence in Syrian adults diagnosed with cancer in 2008 by 7% and 2% in women and men respectively, [3] the 2015 American National Cancer Institute (NCI) report estimated thyroid cancer by 3.1% of all new cancer cases with a 98.1% surviving 5 years [4].

Consequently, excluding malignancy in thyroid nodules is crucial. Among all available means used for thyroid nodules management, Fine Needle Aspiration (FNA) biopsy proved to be a safe, inexpensive, uncomplicated procedure that, when handled by an experienced clinician, has a high diagnostic value [5-8].

Using a proper terminology system for reporting thyroid cytology is essential for achieving the accurate diagnosis and minimizing the false negative and positive rates. The Bethesda System for Reporting Thyroid Cytology (TBSRTC) is one of the most commonly used systems [9-11], and it is adopted by the American Thyroid Association [8].

In this article, the fine needle aspiration cytology results were compared with histology after thyroidectomy or lobectomy to determine the accuracy of this procedure at our institute, we also tried to figure out the possible reasons behind the discrepancy between cytological and histological diagnosis.

Methods and Materials

The study was performed between July 2016 and February 2018. Patients who were included in the study were from visitors to...
endocrinology clinic in Al-Assad and Al-Mouwassat University Hospitals with thyroid nodules indicated for FNA according to the 2015 American Thyroid Association (ATA) guidelines [8].

Patients who came with a cytology report out of Al-Assad Hospital department of pathology were excluded from the study.

Fine needle aspiration biopsy was performed in an outpatient setting, with 21-23 gauge syringes guided by ultrasonography using one of two devices; Toshiba/Nemio/SSA-550A and LS6-14 linear probe Mindray/M7 Diagnostic Ultrasound System. FNA was done often in two passes at least for each nodule, after taking the aspiration it was spread on labeled slides ranged from two to ten slides, fixed immediately with alcohol 95% spray, then with alcohol 100% solution and stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin. All samples were read by pathologists at Al-Assad Hospital, and all specimens of cytology were classified into six categories according to the 2009 Bethesda System for reporting thyroid cytology [11].

Based on clinical data and FNA results, patients went into either surveillance or surgery in the light of 2015 ATA guidelines. In the majority of cases, histology samples were interpreted at our institute, and patients who had malignancy in histopathology that couldn’t be determined if the malignant nodule was targeted by FNA or not were excluded from the study.

Statistical analysis was concerned with assessing FNA efficacy indicators including sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, Positive Predictive Value (PPV) and Negative Predictive Value (NPV). All available data were analyzed with SPSS V23 IBM software.

Results

Fine needle aspiration biopsy was accomplished for 381 nodules from 324 patients, 281 (86.7%) of them were females with a female to male ratio of 6.53:1. results of cytology were as shown in table 1.

After following FNA results a number of patients were sent into surgery based on cytology, clinical and radiological data. Thereafter, histology results were followed for 174 nodules after surgical excision, two of them were excluded because we couldn’t assure that malignancy was from the nodule which was biopsied.

172 nodules from 147 patients, 131 (89.1%) of them were females, had been collected and results were as shown in table 2.

Malignancy rate in unsatisfactory, benign, AUS/FLUS, FN/SFN, suspicious and malignant cytology was 0%, 3.9%, 9.1%, 6.5%, 29.2% and 83.3% respectively. Incidental micropapillary thyroid carcinomas were found in 6 patients (4.08%) in all series three of them was accompanied with a primary thyroid cancer. Thyroid cancer prevalence in all surgical samples was 18.3%, 77.7% were PTC and 7.4% were FTC and 3.7% HCTC and 11.1% were MTC.

According to these results there were three negative aspirates proved to be malignant in histology (False Negative- FN) two of them were medullary carcinomas and the latter was papillary carcinoma, there were 19 positive aspirates proved to be benign in histology (false positive FP) 8 cases were follicular adenomas 7 cases were Hashimoto thyroiditis 3 cases were Hürthle cell adenomas and one case of subacute thyroiditis, True Positive (TP) and True Negative (TN) aspirates were 17 and 73 respectively.

In this study, there were 22 cases of AUS/FLUS with a histological diagnosis; 20 (90.9%) were benign and one of them was papillary carcinoma while the last one was medullary carcinoma. Also, there were 31 cases with FN/SFN cytology underwent surgery and the majority of them 29 (93.5%) were follicular adenomas, whereas two cases turned out to be papillary and follicular carcinomas.

Based on these numbers we calculated accuracy parameters of FNA after excluding unsatisfactory, AUS/FLUS, and FN/SFN from calculations because it was difficult to classify it as a benign or a malignant cytology:

Sensitivity (TP/TP+FN) = 17/(17+3)=85%
Specificity (TN/TN+FP) = 73/(73+19)=79.35%
Positive predictive value PPV (TP/TP+FP)=17/(17+19)=47.22%
Negative predictive value NPV (TN/TN+FN)=73/(73+3)=96.05%
Accuracy (TN+TP)/(TN+TP+FP+FN) (17+73)/112=80.36%

When we excluded the suspicious for malignancy category from accuracy calculations as a positive for malignancy, the results were as follows; sensitivity 76.9%, specificity 97.33%, PPV 83.33%, NPV 96.05%, and accuracy 94.32%. This modified results highlighted the effect of Bethesda V category on accuracy parameters due to overestimating cellular and nuclear abnormalities.

Discussion

Due to progressive development in diagnosing thyroid lesions by using new imaging techniques and widespread use of FNA, thyroid cancer increased in prevalence but without changing prognosis [4].

Fine needle aspiration is the gold standard in the management of thyroid lesions and it helps to minimize the need for unnecessary surgeries [8,12], because FNA helps in making the surgical decision of total thyroidectomy or lobectomy with or without lymph node dissection where complication always are greater with total thyroidectomy and total neck dissection.

By using TBSRTC, thyroid cytology is interpreted in six categories; undiagnostic or unsatisfactory, benign, atypia or follicular lesion of undetermined significance, follicular or suspicious for follicular neoplasm, suspicious for malignancy, and malignant cytology. Each one of these categories has a risk of malignancy and proposes a particular management [10,11].

In our study we performed FNA for 381 nodules from 324 patients; most of them were women as observed in most studies, cytolcic results shown in table 1, comparison with other similar studies is shown in table 3 [13-16].

Unsatisfactory cytology was seen in 26(6.8%) of nodules similar to some studies [14,15] but less than others, [16] this interpretation is

Table 1: Shows the Bethesda categories for FNA samples in our study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytology</th>
<th>Number of nodules</th>
<th>Percentage %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unsatisfactory</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atypia of undetermined significance</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follicular neoplasm/Suspicious for follicular neoplasm</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspicious for Malignancy</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
affected by the type of thyroid nodules solid or cystic, the experience of the aspirator, and the way followed in preparing samples [17]. Of these nodules, only 7 were sent into surgery based on ultrasonographic suspicion and they were benign since most of the nodules in this category are not sent to surgery, the accurate malignancy risk is unknown and estimated by 5-10% [11].

On the other hand, benign cytology was the most common category in this series 216 nodules (56.7%) compared to 28 to 69% in other series [13-16], most of the nodules in this cytology were managed by clinical and radiological surveillance [8,11], so a little portion was sent to surgery based on either nodule size (more than 4 cm) or patient preference making it hard to estimate the exact risk of malignancy which is suggested by TBSRTC of 0-3% [11]. Surgery was performed for 76 nodules in this category, three of them (3.9%) proved to be malignant; one nodule was PTC and two were MTC, samples were reviewed and two of them may reflect a sampling error, while the third was a misinterpretation. Medullary thyroid carcinoma detection rate by FNA is only 50% of MTCs as published by Trimboli P, et al. [18] was a misinterpretation. Medullary thyroid carcinoma detection rate was 216(56.7%) compared to 28 to 69% in other studies [13-16], but a lower malignancy rate was seen in some series [20]. The most controversial category in our series was suspicious for malignancy which included 6(2%) nodules with a surgical follow up of 12 nodules malignancy rate was 50% (6). There were 44 nodules (11.5%) in this study less than other published series [13-15] with a surgical follow up of 31 (70%) nodules showed that most of them 29(93.5%) were benign and only two cases (6.5%) were PTC and FTC and this malignancy rate was lower than results of several studies [13-16].

Improper fixation, poor FNA technique may cause nuclear changes in follicular cells resembles papillary carcinoma, [21] some thyroid lesions especially lymphocytic thyroiditis can exhibit a nuclear enlargement and contour irregularity with nuclear grooves giving a cytological picture of PTC [21,22]. Besides that, the cytopathologist should not ignore the nuclear changes just for the presence of thyroiditis in background because the coincidence of PTC with thyroiditis is not uncommon [22].

The diagnosis of Hürthle cell neoplasms is challenging and it is a source of false positive diagnosis even though there is often no important nuclear atypia [23].

The nuclei of follicular cells in follicular neoplasms could be hyperchromatic and a little larger than normal cells, any nuclear features suggest papillary carcinoma should be addressed as suspicious for malignancy or malignant cytology irrespective of microfollicular abundance [22].

Malignant cytology included 13 nodules with surgical results of 12 nodules lymphocytic

Table 2: Distribution of the cytology results according to final histology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytology</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
<th>Benign</th>
<th>AUS/FLUS</th>
<th>FN/SFN</th>
<th>Suspicious</th>
<th>Malignant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Histology</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCTC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PTC: Papillary Thyroid Carcinoma; FTC: Follicular Thyroid Carcinoma; HCTC: Hürthle Cell Thyroid Carcinoma; MTC: Medullary Thyroid Carcinoma

Table 3: Bethesda Categories comparison with previous studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bethesda 1</td>
<td>26(6.8)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>24(5)</td>
<td>21(7.1)</td>
<td>122(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethesda 2</td>
<td>216(56.7)</td>
<td>29(28.7)</td>
<td>215(44.5)</td>
<td>98(33.1)</td>
<td>697(68.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethesda 3</td>
<td>52(13.6)</td>
<td>4(4)</td>
<td>14(2.9)</td>
<td>40(13.5)</td>
<td>100(9.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethesda 4</td>
<td>44(11.5)</td>
<td>27(26.7)</td>
<td>104(21.5)</td>
<td>49(16.5)</td>
<td>41(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethesda 5</td>
<td>30(7.9)</td>
<td>16(15.8)</td>
<td>74(15.3)</td>
<td>30(10.1)</td>
<td>36(3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethesda 6</td>
<td>13(3.4)</td>
<td>25(24.8)</td>
<td>52(10.8)</td>
<td>58(19.5)</td>
<td>25(2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>381(100)</td>
<td>101(100)</td>
<td>483(100)</td>
<td>296(100)</td>
<td>1021(100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

thyroiditis and Hürthle cell adenoma, other cases were 9 papillary carcinomas and Hürthle cell carcinoma. Malignancy rate in this category 83.3% was lower than other reported series [13-16] where it reached 100% or the malignancy rate reported by TBSRTC (97-99%) [10].

Finally, FNA accuracy parameters differ among different studies according to the categories included as a positive for malignancy, some studies [13-15,20] included indeterminate cytology, while our study and others [24] did not. False positive results lowered specificity in our study to 79.35% compared with other studies (68.4%-97.6%), results are summarized in table 4, with a sensitivity of 85% compared with (94.2%-100%), and total accuracy of 80.36% while other studies reported the accuracy of (85.14%-97%).

Conclusion

Reporting thyroid FNA could be affected anywhere during work, starting with aspiration and preparing slides until reading by cyto/pathologist, so any error in this way may lead to improper results. Although this pitfall, FNA remains reliable, inexpensive, and an accurate procedure in diagnosing thyroid nodules.
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Table 4: Comparison of accuracy parameters with previous studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Sensitivity %</th>
<th>Specificity %</th>
<th>Accuracy %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This series</td>
<td>Syria 2018</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>79.35</td>
<td>80.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hajmanoochehri F, et al.[15]</td>
<td>Iran 2015</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>85.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arul P, et al.[24]</td>
<td>India 2015</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>95.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinna EA, et al.[15]</td>
<td>Egypt 2012</td>
<td>94.2</td>
<td>92.7</td>
<td>93.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
