

# Autoimmune and Infectious Diseases: Open Access

Research Article

Volume: 2.2

Open Access

## Prevalence and Risk Factors of Helicobacter pylori among Adults at Jinka Zonal Hospital, Debub Omo Zone, Southwest Ethiopia

## Getnet Hailu<sup>1,\*</sup>, Kassu Desta<sup>2</sup> and Fisihatsion Tadesse<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ethiopian Public Health Institute, Gulele Sub city, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia <sup>2</sup>CHS, Department of Medical Laboratory Sciences, Addis Ababa University <sup>3</sup>CHS, Department of Internal medicine, Addis Ababa University

\*Corresponding author: Getnet Hailu, Ethiopian Public Health Institute, Gulele Sub city, Arbegnoch street P.O.Box 1242 Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, Tel: +251112788648; E-mail: getnethailu21@gmail.com

Abstract

**Background:** Nearly 50% of the world's population is estimated to be infected with *Helicobacter pylori*, but the prevalence varies greatly among countries and population groups within the same country. The overall prevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* infection is strongly correlated with socioeconomic conditions.

Objective: To determine the prevalence and the possible risk factors for Helicobacter pylori infection among adults.

**Methods:** A hospital based cross-sectional study was conducted from December 2012 to February 2013among 349 adults. All Stool specimens were screened for fecal *Helicobacter pylori* antigen. Besides, all study units were interviewed using a structured questionnaire.

**Results:** A total of 150(43%) males and 199(57%) females were involved in the study. The age of participants were ranged between 20-89 years with a mean age of 36.7 ± 14.7 and median 32 years. The overall prevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* infection was 50.7% (177/349). *Helicobacter pylori* infection was positively associated with those whose occupation were agrarians (OR=1.85(95% CI 1.02-3.39, p=0.045)); being male (OR=1.98(95% CI 1.42-3.29, p=0.011)), more than 5 persons living in the same house, [(OR=1.53(95%CI 1.00-2.34, p=0.048)]; those practiced open field defecation/no toilet use, (OR=6.75(95% CI 2.11-21.61), p=0.001); those who never wash their hands after toilet,(OR=2.86(95%CI 1.30-3.27, p=0.009). But a minimum alcohol consumption was negatively associated with *Helicobacter pylori* bacteria, (OR=0.39(0.23-0.67, p=0.001)).

**Conclusion and recommendation:** The overall prevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in Debub Omo Zone was 50.7%. Poor hygienic practices and crowding were positively associated with *Helicobacter pylori* infection. On the other hand, consumption of little alcohol might protect infected against *Helicobacter pylori* bacteria. Increasing the awareness of the communities toward good hygienic practices might reduce the transmission of *Helicobacter pylori* infection.

**Keywords:** *Helicobacter pylori;* Alcohol; Infection; Transmission

## Introduction

Nearly 50% of the world's population is estimated to be infected with *H. pylori*, but the prevalence varies greatly among countries and among population groups within the same country. *H. pylori* is one of the most common infections in humans affecting 30-40% of persons living in the developed, and 80-90% of persons living in the developing world [1,2].

The prevalence among middle-aged adults is over 80 percent in many developing countries, as compared with 20 to 50 percent in industrialized countries. Therefore, overall prevalence is high in developing countries and lower in developed countries and within areas of different countries. There may be similarly wide variations in the prevalence between more affluent urban populations and rural populations. The principal reasons for these variations involve socioeconomic differences between populations [1,2].

*H. pylori*, the principal species of the genus Helicobacter, was discovered by Warren and Marshall in 1983. This is a small, curved, highly motile, gram-negative bacillus recognized as a chronic colonizer of the human stomach; and known to be one of the most genetically diverse of bacterial species. Biochemically it is urease, catalase and oxidase positive [2-4].

Globally, different strains of *H. pylori* appear to be associated with differences in virulence, and the resulting interplay with host factors and

environmental factors leads to subsequent differences in the expression of disease. Age, ethnicity, gender, geography and socioeconomic status are all factors that influence the incidence and prevalence of *H. pylori* infection [4].

A lack of proper sanitation, of safe drinking water, and of basic hygiene, as well as poor diets and overcrowding, all play a role in determining the overall prevalence of infection. In general, *H. pylori* sero-positivity rates increase progressively with age, reflecting a cohort phenomenon. In developing countries, *H. pylori* infection is markedly more prevalent at younger ages than in developed countries [3,5].

Despite many attempts at discovery, the exact source of infection has yet to be determined. Contaminated food or water sources have been cited as important risks for becoming infected with *H. pylori*. Laboratory experiments have found that *H. pylori* may survive up to a week in water [6].

Even though *H. pylori* infection in humans has been convincingly linked to the development of gastrointestinal (GI) diseases, only a small percentage of colonized individuals will express clinical manifestations. The virulence of the infecting strain is likely to be a major determinant for developing the diseases, although the process is a complex interaction between host and bacteria. A proposed determinant for the outcome of colonization is the ability of the bacterium to attach to the gastric

**Copyright:** © 2016 Hailu G et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received date: 09 Feb 2016; Accepted date: 20 Mar 2016; Published date: 28 Mar 2016.

**Citation:** Hailu G, Desta K, Tadesse F (2016) Prevalence and Risk Factors of *Helicobacter pylori* among Adults at Jinka Zonal Hospital, Debub Omo Zone, Southwest Ethiopia. Autoimmun Infec Dis 2(2): doi http://dx.doi.org/10.16966/2470-1025.113

**Copyright:** © 2016 Hailu G et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.



epithelium. *In vitro* studies have indicated that *H. pylori* strains express a variety of adhesins that recognize a number of epithelial receptors [7].

One of these receptors is the antigen of the Lewis blood group system that is not only synthesized by erythrocytes and blood vessel endothelium but by secretorial cells of the stomach. Demonstration showed that the blood group antigen Lewis b on the gastric epithelial cells acted as an *H. pylori* receptors *in vitro*, and it has further been shown that the Lewis b receptor recognizes the product of the *H. pylori babA* gene [8].

The principal reservoir of *H. pylori* is man, but there have been descriptions of infection spread by means of water or uncooked vegetables contaminated with sewage and a host of other factors [2]. The role of domestic animals in the spread of infection still remains unclear. The putative routes of transmission of the organism have been reported to be fecal-oral, oral-oral, and gastric-oral [9].

Once a person is infected, it can persist in the stomach for decades despite a systemic immune response. The reasons for the failure of the immune system to control infection may be attributed to the fact that *H. pylori* produces chemical components in their cell walls that are very much similar molecules made by the stomach cells of the host. This creates a problem for the immune system, because it is designed to ignore molecules made by the host (self) and to recognize molecules produced by infectious agents (non-self) [10,11].

There are various techniques of detecting *H. pylori* from specimens. These tests may be invasive or non-invasive. Endoscopy and gastric mucosal biopsy, microscopic examination of histological sections and rapid urease test are forms of invasive test that could be used. Non-invasive tests such as Urea Breath Test (UBT), Enzyme Linked Immuno Sorbent Assay (ELISA), *H. pylori* stool antigen test (HpSTAR and HpSA), and latex agglutination tests are important tests. Detection of the antigen however gives a more precise result considering the waning nature of antibodies especially after an infection [10,12].

No single agent has been shown to be effective for curing infection in the majority of patients. Because of the increasing problem of antimicrobial resistance, extended (10-14 day) proton pump inhibitor-based regimens with at least 2 drugs (preferably 3 or 4) should be used for treatment [13,14].

## Methods

#### Study area

The study was conducted at Jinka Zonal Hospital, Debub Omo Zone, Southern Nations, Nationalities and Peoples Region (SNNPR). Jinka Zonal Hospital is the only Zonal Hospital found within the South Omo zone. Patients were come to this Hospital from different health facilities and wored as of the zone.

#### Study design and period

Hospital based cross-sectional study was conducted from December 2012 to February 2013.

#### Source population

All adults with Upper Gastro-Intestinal (UGI) symptoms who visited the hospital.

#### **Study population**

All adults with Upper Gastro-Intestinal (UGI) symptoms who visited the Hospital during the study period.

#### Stool *H. pylori* antigen

Stool specimen was collected in clean, wide mouth and screw caped containers. Stool antigen test, (Croma test, linear chemicals S.L), is a

qualitative immune-chromatography assay for the determination of *Helicobacter pylori* antigen in fecal samples. The membrane is pre coated with monoclonal antibodies, on the test band region, against *H. Pylori* antigens.

#### **Blood collection**

The blood specimen was collected by using capillary tube from figure prick to determine ABO blood group using slide method. ABO blood grouping was determined by testing unknown red cells against known anti A, and anti B antibodies (Croma test, linear chemicals S.L).

#### Statistical method

Statistical analyses were done using chi-square to evaluate any association between *H. pylori* infections with different risk factors. Observed differences in data were considered significant and noted in the text if p<0.005 was obtained.

#### Results

A total of 349 individuals who had upper gastrointestinal symptom were enrolled in this study. The age of participants were ranged between 20 and 89 years with a mean age of  $36.7 \pm 14.7$  and median of 32 years. Of the total study participants, majority, 199(57%) and 216(61.9%) were female and married respectively. Farming 156(44.7%) was the means of livelihood for most study participants. Among the total study participant, 188(53.9%) were residing from rural, 161(46.1%) were protestant and 144(40.4%) were illiterate.

Among 349 study participants, fecal *H. pylori* antigen was detected in 177(50.7%). The prevalence of *H. pylori* infection among age group less than 30 years (48.1%) was almost similar to age group 30-49 years old (47.9%). While there was an increase prevalence of *H. pylori* infection was recorded among participants aged  $\geq$  50years (61.8%). High prevalence of *H. pylori* infection were noted among pastoralists, 25/37(67.6%) and Merchants, 30/50(60%) (Table 1).

The prevalence of *H. pylori* was assessed for any association with the socio-demographic data, health character, living style and hygienic practices of the respondents. Of the total males participants, 91/149 (61%) were positive for H. pylori stool antigen compared with females 86/200 (43%). The overall OR of H. pylori infection for males compared with females was OR=1.98 (95%CI 1.42-3.29, p=0.011). Hence, males are more likely to be infected with H. pylori bacteria than females. Statistical significant association was noted between H. pylori infection and occupation (p<0.05) of the study participants. Even though the prevalence of H. pylori infection were high among pastoralists, 25/37(67.6%) and Merchants, 30/50(60%), there was no statistical significance association were observed. Clearing the possible confounding factors, a multiple logistic regression model showed that statistical significant difference was recorded between agrarian and fecal H. pylori Antigen positivity. I.e. OR=1.85(95%CI (1.02-3.39, p=0.045). Therefore, the odds of having H. pylori infection among agrarians were high (Table 2).

From the total study participants, 20 out of 31 (64.5%) AB blood group, 43 out of 85 (50.6%) A blood group, 52 out of 104 (50%) B blood groups were positive for *H. pylori* stool antigen respectively (Table 3). However, no statistical significant associations were observed between fecal *H. pylori* infection and blood group (p>0.05).

Statistical significant association was detected between *H. pylori* infection and alcohol consumption (p<0.05). In addition, a multiple logistic regression clearly noted that alcohol consumption lee than once a weak can reduce *H. pylori* infection by 61% as compared to those who never drank alcohol [OR=0.39(95% CI 0.23-0.67, p=0.001)]. But no association was distinguished between alcohol consumption more than



Variable	Frequency	percent (%)
Age group		
<30	162	46.4
30-49	119	34.1
>50	68	19.5
Sex		
Male	150	43
Female	199	57
Marital status		
Single	77	22.1
Married	216	61.9
Divorced	31	8.9
Widowed	25	7.2
Education		
Illiterate	141	40.4
Read and write	19	5.4
Grade 1-8	91	26.1
Grade 9-12	52	15.2
Certificate and above	45	12.9
Occupation		
Agrarian	145	41.5
Merchant	50	14.3
Government employee	62	17.8
House wife	44	12.6
Pastoralist	37	10.6
Others	11	3.2

 Table 1: Frequency of socio-demographic characteristics of participants,

 Jinka Zonal Hospital, Debub Omo Zone, SNNPR, 2013 (n=349)

once a week and *H. pylori* infection. In conclusion, alcohol consumption is an independent predictor for *H. pylori* infection.

The prevalence of *H. pylori* infection was 56.1% and 43.9% among the number of persons living in the same house containing <5 persons and  $\geq$  5 persons respectively. Statistical significant association was detected with *H. pylori* infection (p<0.05). A multiple logistic regression showed that association was detected between *H. pylori* infection and number of persons living in the same house containing  $\geq$  5 persons [OR=1.53(95%CI 1.10-2.34, p=0.048)]. Hence, crowded families are more likely to be infected with *H. pylori* bacteria than families contain less than 5 persons in the house. Therefore, crowding is an independent predictor of *H. pylori* infection.

Of the total study participants, 315 used either private or public latrine. But the rest practiced open field defecation/no toilet/. Out of 24 individuals who used public latrine, seven (29.8%) were positive for *H. pylori* fecal antigen. While 145(49.8%) individuals who used private latrine were also infected. In addition, among the participants who practiced open field defecation/no toilet/, 25(73.5%) were positive for *H. pylori* stool antigen tests. Statistically significant association was detected between latrine usage and *H. pylori* infection (p<0.05) (Table 4).

Generally, multiple logistic regression model noted that, the odds of *H. pylori* infection among individuals who practiced open field defecation /no toilet/ and used public latrine were 6.8 and 2.8 times higher than those used private latrine, [OR=6.75(95%CI 2.11-21.6, p=0.001) and 2.80(95%CI 1.26-6.20, p=0.011], respectively. Therefore, toilet use is another independent predictor of *H. pylori* infection.

Helicol	bacter pylori	
Variable	Positive (%)	Negative (%)
Age group		
<30 years	78 (48.1)	84 (51.9)
30-49 years	57 (47.9)	62 (52.1)
>50 years	42 (61.8)	26 (38.2)
Sex		
Male	91(61)	58(39)
Female	86(43)	114(57)
Occupation		
Agrarians	62(42.8)	83(57.2)
Merchants	30(60)	20(40)
Others	5(45.5)	6(54.5)
House wife	19(43.2)	25(56.8)
Pastoralists	25(67.6)	12(32.4)
Government Employee	36(58.1)	26(41.9)
Monthly income		
<526 Br.	113(49.9)	123(50.1)
526-1400 Br.	25(44.5)	30(54.5)
1401-2350 Br.	23(74.2)	8(25.8)
2351-3550 Br.	11(57.9)	8(42.1)
>3550 Br.	5	3

 Table 2: Distribution of Helicobacter pylori infection with socio-demographic characters among participants at Jinka Zonal Hospital, Debub Omo Zone, SNNPR, 2013 (n=349)

Among participants who had never washed their hands after toilet, 32 (72.7%) were positive for *H. pylori* infection and it has statistically significant association with fecal *H. pylori* antigen positivity (p<0.05). Multiple logistic regression model showed, [OR=2.86(95%CI 1.30-3.27, p=0.009]. Hence, the odds of being infected by *H. Pylori* bacteria among individuals who never wash their hands after toilet is 2.86 times higher than those wash their hands always after toilet use. Therefore, this hygienic practice is an independent predictor of *H. pylori* infection.

Among the different variables, no statistical significant difference were observed in education level, monthly income, religion, smoking habit, residence, previous history of dyspepsia, number of siblings, number of beds, domestic animals, toilet type, water source, hand wash before meal, habit of raw vegetable, fruit and raw milk consumption with *H. pylori* infection.

A total of 150(43%) males and 199(57%) females were involved in the study. The age of participants were ranged between 20-89 years with a mean age of 36.7  $\pm$  14.7 and median 32 years. Among 349 study participants, 177(50.7%) were positive for fecal *H. pylori* antigen. *H. pylori* infection were positively associated with males (AOR=1.98(95%CI 1.42-3.29, p=0.011), whose occupation were agrarians (AOR=1.85(95%CI 1.02-3.39, p=0.045); number of persons living in the same house ( $\geq$  5 persons)[(AOR=1.53(95%CI 1.10-2.34, p=0.048)]; those practiced open field defecation/no toilet use/ (AOR=6.75(95%CI 2.11-21.61), p=0.001); those who never wash their hands after toilet use(AOR=2.86(95%CI 1.30-3.27, p=0.009). But negatively associated with individuals who drank alcohol less than once a week (AOR=0.39(0.23-0.67, p=0.001) (Table 5).

#### Discussion

Infection with *H. pylori* occurs worldwide, but the prevalence varies greatly among countries and among population groups within the same country. The mode of *H. pylori* transmission however remains controversial [15].

**Citation:** Hailu G, Desta K, Tadesse F (2016) Prevalence and Risk Factors of *Helicobacter pylori* among Adults at Jinka Zonal Hospital, Debub Omo Zone, Southwest Ethiopia. Autoimmun Infec Dis 2(2): doi http://dx.doi.org/10.16966/2470-1025.113

## **Open Access**



Helicobacter pylori								
Variables	Positive (%)	Negative (%)	COR(CI)	p value	AOR(CI)	p value		
Age group								
<30 years	78 (48.1)	84 (51.9)	1(Reference)					
30-49 years	57 (47.9)	62 (52.1)	0.99(0.62-1.59)	0.967				
>50 years	42 (61.8)	26 (38.2)	1.74(0.98-3.10)	0.06				
Sex								
Male	91(61)	58(39)	2.08(1.35-3.20)	0.001*	1.98(1.42-3.29)	0.011*		
Female	86(43)	114(57)	1 (Reference)					
Education								
Illiterate	71 (50.4)	70 (49.6)	1.47(0.33-6.58)	0.612				
Read and write	10(52.6)	9(47.4)	1.95(0.34-11.06)	0.451				
Grade 1-8	42(46.2)	49(53.8)	1.01(0.25-4.07)	0.993				
Grade 9-12	27(50.9)	26(49.1)	0.85(0.22-2.95)	0.797				
Certificate and above	27(60)	18(40)	1 (Reference)					
Occupation								
Agrarians	62(42.8)	83(57.2)	0.54(0.30-0.99)	0.045*	1.85(1.02-3.39)	0.045*		
Merchants	30(60)	20(40)	1.08(0.51-2.31)	0.836	0.92(0.43-1.97)	0.836		
Others	5(45.5)	6(54.5)	0.60(0.17-2.19)	0.44	1.66(0.46-6.03)	0.44		
House wife	19(43.2)	25(56.8)	0.55(0.25-1.200)	0.132	1.82(0.83-3.98)	0.132		
Pastoralists	25(67.6)	12(32.4)	1.50(0.64-3.53)	0.348	0.66(0.28-1.56)	0.348		
Gov. Employee	36(58.1)	26(41.9)	1 (Reference)					

Table 3: The prevalence of *H. pylori* was assessed for any association with the socio-demographic data, health character, living style and hygienic practices of the respondents

COR: Crude Odds Ratio; AOR: Adjusted odds ratio; 95% CI: 95% confidence interval; OFD: Open Field Defecation; VIP: Ventilated Improve Pit latrine; \* significance difference

Compared to similar studies, this work is, to our knowledge, the first and the largest on *H. pylori* prevalence and its risk factors in a selected group of population at Debub Omo Zone. In this study, the HpSA test was used to detect *H. pylori* antigen. The test is a reliable non-invasive method for detecting *H. pylori* antigens in stool specimens because active infection can be delineated. This method has also been shown to have high sensitivity and a positive predictive value for the detection of *H. pylori* infection [2,12].

In this study the overall prevalence of *H. pylori* infection was 50.7% (177 of 349), which was less than studies done in Addis Ababa (89%), Gondor (85.6%), Arbaminch (73%), and Hawassa (62.3%). The possible explanation is that the prevalence of *H. pylori* infection varies among countries and among population groups within the same country. This is may be due to the above studies was done in different age groups and used different study design and sample size [12,15-17].

Another explanation may be the different sensitivity of the method of laboratory diagnosis. Serological testing was used in the above studies whereas *H. pylori* stool antigen was used in this study. This test detects active infection, while serology does not differentiate between current and past infection. Therefore, the use of serology may lead to an overestimation of prevalence by including subjects who had been infected but were cured prior to testing [15].

This study showed that statistical significant difference was obtained between prevalence of *H. pylori* infection and gender. i.e. *H. pylori* colonization was higher in males OR=1.98(95%CI 1.42-3.29, p=0.011) than in females. This finding is in accordance with many reports from the literature where males were found to have significantly higher infection rates than females [5,18,19].

Several studies illustrate that immunological differences exist between the sexes that may underlie increased infection in males. Females typically have higher immune responses than males.

It was not found statistically significant association with age group, ethnicity, and marital status, place of residence, monthly income, and education (p>0.05). However, many studies found a strong association between these factors and *H. pylori* infection, there are also some that did not associate. Increased age was associated with *H. pylori* infection in Addis Ababa, Gondor, Hawassa, Tanzania and other developing countries. Rural residence was related in Taiwan and Kazakhstan. Studies did not found a significant association between *H. pylori* infection with ethnicity, low educational level and monthly income in Hawassa, Turky, Mexico and Mato Grosso. All these reports are consistent with the concept that the most important factors influencing the transmission of an infection may differ with geographical location and study population. Therefore, the absence of statistically significant association with these demographic factors in this study (p>0.05) might be due to similar grounds with the above concept including difference in sample size [12,20-26].

In our study, we found a higher prevalence of H. *pylori* infection in those having small number rooms (53.3%) and an increase of people sharing the same bed (51.1%).But no significant association was noted with the infection. This difference may be due to the small sample size used in our study.

In this study, the prevalence of *H. pylori* infection was high in those who practiced open field defecation/no toilet/ i.e. 73% and public latrine users 29.2% respectively. Statistical significance associations were obtained between *H. pylori* infection and latrine usage. Therefore, individuals who use private latrine was less likely infected with *H. pylori* than the others. No identical data were, however, available on that aspect for comparison.



**Open Access** 

Helicobacter pylori						
Variables	Positive (%)	Negative (%)	COR(CI)	p value	AOR(CI)	p value
Blood groups						
A	43 (50.6)	42 (49.4)	0.56(0.24-1.32)	0.185		
В	52 (50)	52 (50)	0.55(0.24-1.26)	0.158		
0	62 (48.1)	67 (51.9)	0.51(0.23-1.15)	0.103		
AB	20 (64.5)	11 (35.5)	1 (Reference)			
Alcohol consumption						
No use	81(43.5)	105 (56.5)	1(Reference)			
<once a="" td="" week<=""><td>55 (66.3)</td><td>28 (33.7)</td><td>2.55(1.49-4.37)</td><td>0.001*</td><td>0.39(0.23-0.67)</td><td>0.001*</td></once>	55 (66.3)	28 (33.7)	2.55(1.49-4.37)	0.001*	0.39(0.23-0.67)	0.001*
>Once a weak	41 (51.3)	39 (48.7)	1.36(0.81-2.31)	0.248	0.73(0.43-1.24)	0.25
Smoking habit						
Current smoking	5 (45.5)	6 (54.5)	1.55(0.70-3.41)	0.28		
Ex-smoked	17 (60.7)	11 (39.3)	0.83(0.25-2.79)	0.767		
Never smoked	155 (50)	155 (50)	1(Reference)			
Previous history of dyspepsia						
Yes	96 (48.5)	102 (51.5)	1.23(0.80-1.88)	0.34		
No	81 (53.6)	70 (46.4)	1(Reference)			
No of person living in the same house						
<5	97 (56.1)	76 (43.9)	1(Reference)			
>5	80(45.5)	96(54.5)	0.65(0.43-0.99)	0.048*	1.53(1.10-2.34)	0.048*
No of siblings						
<2	71 (54.2)	60 (45.8)	1(Reference)			
5-Mar	73 (52.9)	65 (47.1)	1.69(0.96-2.96)	0.069		
>6	33 (41.3)	47 (58.7)	1.6(0.92-2.79)	0.098		
No of rooms						
1	114 (53.3)	100 (46.7)	1.22(0.56-2.66)	0.614		
4-Feb	49 (46.2)	57 (53.8)	0.92(0.40-2.10)	0.845		
>4	14 (48.3)	15 (51.7)	1(Reference)			
No of beds						
<2	163 (51.1)	156 (48.9)	0.33(0.21-3.01)	0.853		
3	14 (48.3)	15 (51.7)	1.11(0.52-2.38)	0.784		
4	0	1	1(Reference)			
Domestic animal						
Yes	97 (52.7)	87 (47.3)	0.84(0.55-1.29)	0.43		
No	80 (48.5)	85 (51.5)	1(Reference)			

Table 4: Distribution of *H. pylori* infection and association with different medical character and life style of the respondents at Jinka Zonal Hospital, Debub Omo Zone, SNNPR, 2013 n=349

COR: crude odds ratio; AOR: Adjusted odds ratio; 95% CI: 95% confidence interval; OFD: Open Field Defecation; VIP; Ventilated Improve Pit latrine; \* significance difference

The assumption is that, poor personal and environmental hygiene may play a great roll in the transmission of *H. pylori* bacteria. These findings supports the notion of oral-oral or fecal oral route with or without intermediate vectors of transmission which is thought to be the primary route of transmission [27].

These findings are in accordance with several reports in the literature that assessed the relationship between alcohol consumption and *H. pylori* infection. A pooled analysis of three studies from Southern Germany, comprising 1410 adults aged 15 to 69, showed that prevalence of current *H. pylori* infection was lower among subjects who consumed alcohol (34.9%) than among non-drinkers (38.0%), regardless of the

type of alcoholic beverages consumed. In 2002, the report of the Bristol Helicobacter Project found a negative association between consumption of wine and beer and *H. pylori* infection prevalence in 10,537 participants [28,29]. Given the fact that acquisition of *H. pylori* infection occurs in childhood, the inverse association between alcohol consumption and *H. pylori* infection would more likely reflect suppression or elimination of the infection by alcohol consumption rather than reduced rates of acquisition of the infection. [28,29].

In this study, high prevalence of *H.pylori* infection was detected in those who never washed their hands after toilet use (72.7%), this poor hygienic practice increase the odds of infection with *H.pylori* bacteria by 2.86 times



**Open Access** 

Helicobacter pylori							
Variables	Positive (%)	Negative (%)	COR(CI)	p value	AOR(CI)	p value	
Lavatory/Toilet used							
Private	145 (49.8)	146 (50.2)	1(Reference)				
Public	7 (29.2)	17 (70.8)	0.42(0.17-1.03)	0.058	2.80(1.26-6.20)	0.011*	
OFD	25 (73.5)	9 (26.5)	2.80(1.26-6.20)	0.011*	6.75(2.11-21.61)	0.001*	
Toilet type							
Pit latrine	148 (48.1)	160 (51.9)	2.08(0.39-11.18)	0.392			
VIP	4	3	1(Reference)				
Water source							
Well water	36(54.5)	30(45.5)	1.11(0.63-1.98)	0.712			
Spring water	24(49)	25(51)	0.89(0.47-1.69)	0.725			
River water	33(45.8)	39(54.2)	0.79(0.45-1.37)	0.396			
Municipal water	84(51.9)	78(48.1)	1(Reference)				
Hand wash before meal							
Never	6	3	1.6(0.39-6.65)	0.518			
Some times	47(50)	47(50)	0.8(0.48-1.35)	0.401			
Often	44(43.1)	58(56.9)	0.61(0.36-1.01)	0.056			
Always	80(55.6)	64(44.4)	1(Reference)				
Hand wash after toilet use							
Never	32(72.7)	12(27.3)	2.86(1.30-5.27)	0.009 <sup>*</sup>	2.86(1.30-3.27)	0.009*	
Some times	46(48.4)	49(51.6)	1.01(0.56-1.80)	0.984	1.13(0.63-2.05)	0.678	
Often	57(46.3)	66(53.7)	0.93(0.53-1.60)	0.782	1.35(0.77-2.35)	0.296	
Always	42(48.3)	45(51.7)	1(Reference)				
Habit of raw vegetable and fruit consumption							
Rarely	114(50.4)	112(49.6)	1(Reference)				
Daily	10(50)	10(50)	0.98(0.39-2.45)	0.97			
Weekly	53(51.5)	50(49.5)	1.04(0.65-1.66)	0.865			
Wash before consumption of raw vegetables and fruits							
Never	52(56.5)	40(43.5)	0.65(0.38-1.09)	0.101			
Some times	68(45.6)	81(54.4)	1.15(0.61-2.17)	0.657			
Often	42(60)	28(40)	0.50(0.23-1.08)	0.079			
Always	15(39.5)	23(69.5)	1(Reference)				
Raw milk consumption							
Yes	85(46.2)	99(53.8)	0.681(0.447-1.039)	0.075			
No	92(55.8)	73(44.2) 1(Reference)					
Residence							
Urban	81(50.3)	80(49.7)	1(Reference)				
Rural	96(51.1)	92(48.9)	1.03(0.68-1.57)	0.888			

Table 5: Distribution of *H. pylori* infection and association with different hygienic practices of the respondents at Jinka Zonal Hospital, Debub Omo Zone, SNNPR, 2013 n=349

COR: crude odds ratio; AOR: Adjusted odds ratio; 95% CI: 95% Confidence interval; OFD: Open Field Defecation; VIP: Ventilated Improve Pit latrine; \* significance difference

than those who washed always their hand after toilet used. The possible explanation is that poor personal and environmental hygiene contribute to the transmission of the bacterium via feco-oral rout.

Individuals with blood group O were found to be more susceptible to peptic ulcer disease for decades without known cause until the relationship between Lewis antigens and the attachment of *H. pylori* to gastric mucosa was observed. However, the correlation between *H. pylori* infection and ABO blood types was not supported in some reports, Addis Ababa, Bahir Dar and Gondor. In this study, although the most prevalent blood group

was blood type O (43%), subjects with blood group O didn't show an increased susceptibility to *H. pylori* infection than those with other blood groups (p>0.05) [12,16,30-34].

## Conclusion

Of the total study participants, 50.7% were positive for fecal *Helicobacter pylori* antigen. High prevalence was noted among age group  $\geq$  50 year but no statistically significant association was detected (p>0.05). There was a considerable increase of *H. pylori* prevalence in males than females and



being male had statistical significant association with *H. pylori* infection. Even though high prevalence were obtained among pastoralists (67.6%) and merchants (60%); statistical significant association were noted between agrarians and *H. pylori* (OR=1.85(95%CI 1.02-3.39), p=0.045).

Statistically significant positive associations were obtained between *H. pylori* infections and more than or equals to five persons living in the same house (crowding) and poor hygienic practices. But consumption of little alcohol might protect from being infected by *H. pylori* bacteria [OR= 0.39(95% CI 0.23-0.67, p=0.001)].

No statistical significant difference were observed in education level, monthly income, religion, smoking habit, previous history of dyspepsia, number of siblings, number of beds, domestic animals, toilet type, water source, hand wash before meal, habit of raw vegetable and fruit consumption, wash before consumption of raw vegetable and fruits and raw milk consumption with *H. pylori* infection. 57.9 %(202) were positive for at least one of the different intestinal parasites.

Therefore, good hygienic practices may reduce infection with *H. pylori* bacteria.

#### References

- 1. Marshall BJ, Warren JR (1984) Unidentified curved bacilli in the stomach of patients with gastritis and peptic ulceration. Lancet 1: 1311-1315.
- Goodwin CS, Armstrong JA, Chivers T, Peters M, Collins MD, et al. (1989) Transfer of *Campylobacter pylori* and *Campylobacter mustelae* to *Helicobacter* gen. Nov. as *Helicobacter pylori* comb. Nov. and *Helicobacter mustelae* comb. nov., respectively. Int J Syst Bacteriol 39: 397-405.
- Ndip RN, MacKay WG, Farthing MJG, Weaver LT (2003) Culturing Helicobacter pylori from clinical specimens: Review of Microbiologic methods. J Pedtr Gastroenterol Nutr 36: 616-622.
- 4. Scott D, Weeks D, Melchers K, Sachs G (1998) The life and death of *Helicobacter pylori*. Gut 43: 56-60.
- 5. Tomb JF, White O, Kerlavage AR (1997) The complete genome sequence of gastric pathogen *Helicobacter pylori*. Nature 388: 539-547.
- Tanih NF, Clarke AM, Mkwetshana N, Green E, Ndip LM, et al. (2008) Helicobacter pylori infection in Africa: Pathology and microbiological diagnosis. Afr J Biotechnol 7: 4653-4662.
- Brown LM (2000) *Helicobacter pylori*: Epidemiology and routes of transmission. Epidemiol Rev 22: 283-297.
- Horiuchi T, Ohkusa T, Watanabe M, Kobayashi D, Miwa H, et al. (2001) Helicobacter pylori DNA in drinking water in Japan. J Clin Microbiol 45: 515-519.
- Kusters JG, Gerrits MM, Van Strijp JA, Vandenbroucke-Grauls CM (1997) Coccoid forms of *Helicobacter pylori* are the morphologic manifestation of cell death. Infect Immunol 65: 3672-3679.
- Thye T, Burchard GD, Nilius M, Muller-Myhsok B, Horstmann RD (2003) Genome wide linkage analysis identifies polymorphism in the human interferon-gamma receptor affecting *Helicobacter pylori* infection. Am J Hum Gene 72: 448-453.
- Farag TH, Stoltzfus RJ, Khalfan SS, Tielsch JM (2007) Unexpectedly low prevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* infection among pregnant women on Pemba Island, Zanzibar. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 101: 915-922.
- Bravo LE, Mera R, Reina JC, Pradilla A, Alzate A, et al. (2003) Impact of *Helicobacter pylori* infection on growth of children: A prospective cohort study. J Pedtr Gastroenterol Nutr 37: 614-619.

- Open Access
- McNamara D, El-Omar E (2008) *Helicobacter pylori* infection and the pathogenesis of gastric cancer: A paradigm for host–bacterial interactions. Dig Liver Dis 40: 504-509.
- Cardenas VM, Mulla ZD, Ortiz M, Graham DY (2006) Iron deficiency and *Helicobacter pylori* infection in the United States. Am J Epidemiol 163: 127-134.
- Asrat D, Nilsson I, Mengistu Y, Ashenafi S, Ayenew K, et al. (2004) Prevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* infection among adult dyspeptic patients in Ethiopia. Ann Trop Med Parasitol 98: 181-189.
- Federal democratic republic of Ethiopia population census commission (2008) summary and statistical report of the 2007 population and housing census population size by age and sex.
- 17. Linear (2012) A rapid test for qualitative detection of *Helicobacter pylori* in faeces.
- Montecucco C, Rappuoli R (2001) Living dangerously: how Helicobacter pylori survives in the human stomach. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2: 457-466.
- Henriksen TH, Nysaeter G, Madebo T, Setegn D, Brorson O, et al. (1992) Peptic ulcer disease in south Ethiopia is strongly associated with *Helicobacter pylori*. Ethiop Med J 30: 43-49.
- Borrelli F, Izzo AA (2000) The Plant Kingdom as a Source of Anti-ulcer remedies. Phytother Res 14: 581-591.
- Kimang'a AN, Revathi G, Kariuki S, Sayed S, Devani S (2010) Helicobacter pylori: Prevalence and antibiotic susceptibilityamong Kenyans. S Afr Med J 100: 53-57.
- Perez-Perez GI, Witkin SS, Decker MD, Blaser MJ (1991) Sero prevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in couples. J Clin Microbiol 29: 642-644.
- Replogle ML, Glaser SL, Hiatt RA, Parsonnet J (1995) Biologic sex as a risk factor for *Helicobacter pylori* infection in healthy young adults. Am J Epidemiol 142: 856-863.
- Staat M, Moran D, McQuillan GM, Kaslow RA (1996) A populationbased serologic survey of *H. pylori* infection in children and adolescents in the United States. J Infect Dis 174: 1120-1123.
- Nalbandian G, Kovats S (2005) Understanding sex biases in immunity: Effects of estrogen on the differentiation and function of antigen presenting cells. Immunol Res 31: 91-106.
- Moayyedi P, Axon AT, Feltbower R, Duffett S, Crocombe W, et al. (2002) Relation of adult lifestyle and socioeconomic factors to the prevalence of *H. pylori* infection. Int J Epidemiol 31: 624-631.
- Mbulaiteye SM, Gold BD, Pfeiffer RM, Brubaker GR, Shao J, et al. (2006) *H. pylori*-infection and antibody immune response in a rural Tanzanian population. J Infect Agent Cancer 1: 3.
- Brown LM, Thomas TL, Ma JL, Chang YS, You WC, et al, (2002) Helicobacter pylori infection in rural china: demographic, lifestyle and environmental factors. Int J Epidemiol 31: 638-645.
- Moges F, Kassu A, Mengistu G, Adugna S, Andualem B, et al. (2006) Seroprevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* in dyspeptic patients and its relationship with HIV infection, ABO blood groups and life style in a university hospital, Northwest Ethiopia. World J Gastroenterol 12: 1957-1961.
- Lindkvist P, Enquselassie F, Asrat D, Nilsson I, Muhe L, et al. (1998) Risk factors for infection with *Helicobacter pylori*: a study of children in rural Ethiopia. Scand J Infect Dis 30: 371-376.
- 31. Vaira D, Holton J, Ricci C, Menegatti M, Gatta L, et al. (2001) The transmission of *Helicobacter pylori* from stomach to stomach. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 15: 33-42.



- Brenner H, Bode G, Adler G, Hoffmeister A, Koenig W, et al. (2001) Alcohol as a gastric disinfectant? The complex relationship between alcohol consumption and current *Helicobacter pylori* infection. Epidemiology 12: 209-214.
- Murray LJ, Lane AJ, Harvey IM, Donovan JL, Nair P, et al. (2002) Inverse relationship between alcohol consumption and active *Helicobacter pylori* infection: The Bristol Helicobacter project. Am J Gastroenterol 97: 2750-2755.
- Ingram LO, Buttke TM (1984) Effects of alcohols on micro-organisms. Adv Microb Physiol 25: 253-300.